



Board of Commissioners

606 5th Ave. SW, Room #131

Roseau, MN 56751

Phone: 218-463-4248

Fax: 218-463-3252

AGENDA

Tuesday, May 12, 2009, 8:30 a.m.

Notice is hereby given that the Board of Commissioners of Roseau County will meet in session on May 12, 2009 at 8:30 am in the Roseau County Courthouse, Room 110, Roseau, MN, at which time the following matters will come before the Board:

8:30 Call to Order

1. Presentation of Colors
2. Approve Agenda

8:45 Consent Agenda

1. Approve Proceedings
2. Approve Fee for Precious Metals License
3. Approve Bovine TB Credit Abatements
4. Approve Bills

9:00 Comments and Announcements

9:15 Roseau County Historical Society

1. Discuss County Museum Shelving

9:45 Roseau County Trailblazers

1. Review Annual Report

10:00 Committee Reports

10:20 Break

10:30 Discussion

11:00 Highway Department

1. Bid Opening CSAH #9 Overlay Project S.P. 68-609-17

11:45 Future Agenda Items

12:00 Adjourn

To schedule an appointment with the Board, please contact the County Coordinator at 218-463-4248

County Coordinator's e-mail address: trish.harren@co.roseau.mn.us

Roseau County Home Page Address: <http://www.co.roseau.mn.us/>

District 1, Alan Johnston, Vice Chair - District 2, Jack Swanson, Chairman -
District 3, Orris Rasmussen - District 4, Russell Walker - District 5, Mark Foldesi

An Equal Opportunity Employer

ITEM # Consent 1

REQUEST FOR BOARD ACTION

* Required Fields



*Person Responsible for Request Klein, Trish	*Department Coordinator	*Board Meeting Date May 12 2009
--	-----------------------------------	---

***Subject Title (As it will appear on the agenda):**
Approve Proceedings

***Background (Provide sufficient detail of the subject):**
Attached is a draft of the May 5, 2009 Board Proceedings. Please review carefully and advise of any changes.

***Financial Consideration:**

***Legal Consideration:**

***Other Consideration:**

***Resolution (Wording should reflect the intent of the Board vote):**

Coordinator's Office Use (Do Not Write Below)

Date Received:	Comments:
-----------------------	------------------

Board Action:

Comm.	Motion (First)	Motion (Second)	Vote			Vote Result	
			Yes	No	Abstain		
Swanson						Passed	
Johnston							
Folds						Failed	
Rasmussen							
Walker						Tabled	

ATTEST: Teresa Klein, Board Clerk

PROCEEDINGS OF THE ROSEAU COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

May 5, 2009

The Board of Commissioners of Roseau County, Minnesota met in the Courthouse in the City of Roseau, Minnesota on Tuesday, May 5, 2009, at 8:30 a.m.

CALL TO ORDER

The meeting was called to order at 8:30 a.m. by County Board Chairman Jack Swanson. The Pledge of Allegiance was recited. Commissioners present were, Alan Johnston, Orris Rasmussen, and Jack Swanson. Commissioners Foldesi and Walker were excused.

APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Approval of abatements was removed from the Consent Agenda. Approval of May 10-16th as National Police Week was added to the Consent Agenda. A motion to approve the revised agenda was made by Commissioner Rasmussen, seconded by Commissioner Johnston and carried unanimously.

CONSENT AGENDA

A motion to adopt the consent agenda was made by Commissioner Johnston, seconded by Commissioner Rasmussen and carried unanimously.

The Board, by adoption of its consent agenda, approved a one year service contract with GeoComm in the amount of \$7,296.00.

The Board, by adoption of its consent agenda, approved a Proclamation declaring May 10-16, 2009 as National Police Week.

COMMENTS AND ANNOUNCEMENTS

Coordinator Klein had the following comments and announcements:

1. H1N1 meeting May 6, 2009 at 1:00.
2. Geocaching at the Courthouse Complex.
3. National County Government Week PSA and press release for local media.

Commissioner Swanson noted that he had spoken to Lake of the Woods Board Chair Patty Beckel and discussed issuing a joint press release regarding the two counties intend to work together on potential collaborations and efficiencies.

Upon motion carried, the Board adjourned the regular meeting at 9:00 a.m. The next regular meeting of the Board is scheduled for May 12, 2009 at 8:30 a.m.

Attest:

Date: _____

Teresa Klein, County Coordinator
Roseau County, Minnesota

Jack Swanson, Chairman
Board of County Commissioners
Roseau County, Minnesota

ITEM # _____
REQUEST FOR BOARD ACTION
 * Required Fields



*Person Responsible for Request Granitz, Anne	*Department	*Board Meeting Date
---	-----------------------------	--------------------------------------

***Subject Title (As it will appear on the agenda):**
 Approve \$50 fee for Precious Metals License

***Background (Provide sufficient detail of the subject):**
 Diane Coppens from Big Fork called and requested a Precious Metals License (to buy gold and silver). We have never issued a Precious Metals License; therefore, the County Board must set a license fee. M.S. 325F details the licensing criteria (see attached copy). One of the counties that currently issues a license to Ms. Coppens is St. Louis County. I contacted the Auditor's office to obtain copies of their licensing information. They charge a \$50 fee, and I recommend that we do the same.

***Financial Consideration:**
 Roseau County retains the licensing fee.

***Legal Consideration:**

***Other Consideration:**

***Resolution (Wording should reflect the intent of the Board vote):**
 BE IT RESOLVED that the Precious Metals License fee be set at \$50.

Coordinator's Office Use (Do Not Write Below)

Date Received:	Comments:

Board Action:

Comm.	Motion (First)	Motion (Second)	Vote			Vote Result
			Yes	No	Abstain	
Swanson						Passed
Johnston						
Foldesi						Failed
Rasmussen						
Walker						Tabled

ATTEST: Teresa Klein, Clerk to the County Board

ITEM # Consent 2

REQUEST FOR BOARD ACTION

* Required Fields



*Person Responsible for Request Heim, Allen	*Department	*Board Meeting Date
---	-----------------------------	--------------------------------------

***Subject Title (As it will appear on the agenda):**
Approve Abatements

***Background (Provide sufficient detail of the subject):**
Assessor Al Heim is requesting approval of two abatements related to an oversight in Bovine TB tax credit calculations. See attached.

***Financial Consideration:**

***Legal Consideration:**

***Other Consideration:**

***Resolution (Wording should reflect the intent of the Board vote):**

Coordinator's Office Use (Do Not Write Below)

Date Received:	Comments:
-----------------------	------------------

Board Action:

Comm.	Motion (First)	Motion (Second)	Vote			Vote Result	
			Yes	No	Abstain		
Swanson						Passed	
Johnston							
Folds						Failed	
Rasmussen							
Walker						Tabled	

ATTEST: Teresa Klein, Board Clerk

Changes in the Bovine TB Property Tax Credit

There have been some recent changes made to the Bovine TB Property Tax Credit that will take affect for taxes payable in 2009. Applications for the tax credit were accepted through December 1st 2008. By the filing deadline we had received nearly 300 applications and all but 10 were approved.

This bovine property tax credit applies to property used to sustain livestock in the Modified Accredited (MA) zone as outlined by the Minnesota Board of Animal Health (BAH). All livestock within this MA zone are required to have an annual whole herd test for bovine TB and are subjected to strict livestock testing and movement restrictions. State legislators implemented this bovine property tax credit to help livestock producers that have property within this MA zone.

All parcels of land from the approved applications were flagged to receive the bovine tax credit which applies to land classified as agricultural homestead or nonhomestead, including any agricultural outbuildings (nonresidential) and the 1 acre site of the house/garage and first acre. The credit would apply to all acres that are considered agricultural land excluding 2b timber and 2b airport land. This credit would not apply to the house and garage. Any other taxes attributable to residential structures must also be excluded when calculating the credit.

In January of 2009 the Minnesota Department of Revenue asked Roseau County to calculate the dollar amount of state paid credits based on our approved applications. That same information was also requested from Beltrami and Marshall Counties. The total dollar amount from Roseau County was \$332,500 and the combined totals from Beltrami and Marshall was in the \$115,000 to \$130,000 range.

Once the Minnesota Dept. of Revenue received these estimates for the bovine tax credit, a conference call was set up with the Department of Revenue and involved counties. When the legislation for this credit was originally passed in 2008, the total cost was estimated at \$368,000. With actual credit amounts totaling between \$447,500 and \$462,500 a concern was expressed that the entire program could be in jeopardy considering the statewide financial budget shortfalls.

When the original tax credit estimates were made an average farm was determined to be 320 acres in size or based on the animal counts, approximately 8 acres per head to sustain an adult animal (forage and pasture). The counties involved and the Department of Revenue agreed that since the average farm was estimated at 320 acres, every approved application that was 320 acres or less should receive the credit on entire farm. The problem was a number of farms flagged to receive the credit were larger than 320 acres.

It was suggested counties take the herd size times eight acres per head to determine the number of acres eligible for the credit on larger farms, since this was part of the original estimate. For example, a herd of sixty animals would be eligible to receive a bovine TB tax credit on 480 acres. (60 head x 8 acres = 480 acres).

At this point we reviewed all of the applications of more than 320 acres and compared the additional acres to the herd size to see how many more acres over 320, if any, would be eligible for the credit. If we found that the credit needed to be removed on any parcels of land in the MA zone, we tried to do it in a way that would still result in the greatest benefit to the property owners.

Once these changes were made, the cost of this state paid credit in Roseau County was reduced from \$332,500 to \$259,000 or 22.1%. If we assume a similar reduction for Beltrami and Marshall Counties, the total cost of the state paid credit would be between \$348,600 and \$360,300. This is more in line with the states original estimate of \$368,000.

I'm sure livestock producers in the MA zone will agree this is not as good as receiving the bovine tax credit on all parcels of land but it's definitely better than putting the entire program in jeopardy.

If you have any questions, please contact the Roseau County Assessors Office.

Al Heim
Roseau County Assessor

JACK SWANSON COMMITTEE REPORTS

APRIL 28, 2009 - MINNESOTA RURAL COUNTIES CAUCUS (ST PAUL); HEARD FROM A SERIES OF LEGISLATORS (PAUL MARQUART, PAUL ANDERSON, BILL INGEBRITSEN, BERNIE LIEDER, ETC) ON SESSION ISSUES.

APRIL 29, 2009 - ASSOCIATION OF MINNESOTA COUNTIES LEGISLATIVE CONFERENCE (ST PAUL); ROSEAU SCHOOL BOARD CHAIR STU MCFARLANE, ROSEAU COUNTY SOCIAL SERVICES DIRECTOR DAVE ANDERSON AND SWANSON MET WITH STATE SENATOR LEROY STUMPF AND STATE REPRESENTATIVE DAVE OLIN (AND OTHERS) ON ISSUES AFFECTING ROSEAU COUNTY.

APRIL 30, 2009 - A.M.C. LEGISLATIVE CONFERENCE (ST PAUL)

MAY 5, 2009 - ROSEAU COUNTY BOARD MEETING

MAY 6, 2009 - ROSEAU RIVER WATERSHED BOARD; TALKED ABOUT PROPOSAL FOR ELECTED (RATHER THAN APPOINTED) WATERSHED BOARD MANAGERS; AND HEARD ABOUT THE HAY CREEK/NORLAND FLOOD CONTROL PROJECT.

MAY 6, 2009 - CRIMINAL JUSTICE COORDINATING COMMITTEE; TALKED ABOUT MENTAL HEALTH INITIATIVE, AND ABOUT THE PROSPECT FOR YOUTH CHEMICAL DEPENDENCY TREATMENT IN ROSEAU COUNTY.

MAY 7, 2009 - NORTHERN COUNTIES LAND USE COORDINATING BOARD (CHISHOLM); HEARD THE OBERSTAR/FEINGOLD CLEAN WATER RESTORATION ACT IS ON A 'FAST TRACK' IN CONGRESS; NCLUCB CONCERNED PASSAGE WOULD GIVE TOO MUCH AUTHORITY TO THE U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS ... ALSO TALKED ABOUT PUBLIC HEARINGS ON THE WETLAND CONSERVATION RULES.

MAY 11, 2009 - KAMAR BOARD (KARLSTAD)

MAY 11, 2009 - ROSEAU RIVER WATERSHED BOARD & CITY OF ROSEAU; SWANSON IS FACILITATING A DISCUSSION OF THE WATERSHED ASSESSMENT FOR THE WEST INTERCEPTOR (THE CITY IS THE 100% BENEFITED PARTY).

Environment

Legacy amendment bill gets division approval Published (5/5/2009)

The plan for spending money on the arts and environment from the state's new constitutional amendment received division approval.

Sponsored by Rep. Mary Murphy (DFL-Hermantown), HF1231 would provide that new sales tax revenues be deposited in four dedicated funds, under the legacy amendment adopted onto the state's constitution last year. It increases the state's sales tax from 6.5 percent to 6.875 percent. Approved by the House Cultural and Outdoor Resources Finance Division, it is scheduled to be heard Wednesday by the House Finance Committee. There is no Senate companion. (Watch the division meeting.)

Things of note in the bill for fiscal years 2010-2011 include:

- \$59.7 million from the Outdoor Heritage Fund to the Department of Natural Resources split among prairies; wetlands; forests; and fish, game and wildlife habitat;
- \$40.19 million from the Clean Water Fund to the Pollution Control Agency for grants, drinking water protection, Minnesota River Water Quality testing and wastewater treatment monitoring;
- \$31.9 million from the Parks and Trails Fund to the DNR for grants and the state parks and trails legacy;
- \$25.37 million from the Clean Water Fund to the Public Facilities Authority for grants among small community wastewater treatment technical assistance and construction and phosphorus reduction; and
- \$20.9 million from the Arts and Cultural Heritage Fund to the Minnesota Historical Society for things including grants, assistance to local historical societies and the Minnesota History Educational Network.

- 77.1 (1) include the proposed timetable for implementing the restoration, including
77.2 site preparation, establishment of diverse plant species, maintenance, and additional
77.3 enhancement to establish the restoration;
- 77.4 (2) identify long-term maintenance and management needs of the restoration and
77.5 how the maintenance, management, and enhancement will be financed; and
- 77.6 (3) take advantage of the most current science and include innovative techniques
77.7 to achieve the best restoration.
- 77.8 (e) Lands restored with money from the outdoor heritage fund must use vegetation
77.9 only of Minnesota's native ecotypes using a high diversity of species grown as close to the
77.10 restoration site as possible and protect existing native prairies from genetic contamination.
- 77.11 (f) Lands purchased, restored, or protected by easements with money from the
77.12 outdoor heritage fund are not eligible for wetland replacement or mitigation credits.
- 77.13 (g) A recipient of money from the outdoor heritage fund must display a sign on lands
77.14 purchased, restored, or protected by easements with money from the outdoor heritage fund
77.15 that identifies it as a project funded with money from the vote of the people of Minnesota
77.16 on November 4, 2008.
- 77.17 (h) To ensure public accountability for the use of public funds, a recipient of
77.18 money from the outdoor heritage fund must provide documentation to the council of the
77.19 selection process used to identify parcels acquired and provide documentation of all
77.20 related transaction costs, including but not limited to appraisals, legal fees, recording fees,
77.21 commissions, other similar costs, and donations. This information must be provided for
77.22 all parties involved in the transaction. The recipient shall also report to the council any
77.23 difference between the acquisition amount paid to the seller and the state-certified or
77.24 state-reviewed appraisal. Acquisition data such as appraisals may remain private during
77.25 negotiations but must ultimately be made public according to chapter 13.
- 77.26 (i) The owner of an interest in real property acquired with money from the outdoor
77.27 heritage fund may not alter the intended use of the interest in real property or convey any
77.28 interest in the real property acquired with the appropriation without notifying the council
77.29 in writing. For the purposes of this section, "interest in real property" includes, but is not
77.30 limited to, an easement or fee title to property.
- 77.31 (j) A recipient of money from the outdoor heritage fund shall not use the funds to
77.32 cover any organizational or operational expenses not related to the project being funded.
- 77.33 (k) All information for proposed and funded projects, including the proposed
77.34 measurable outcomes, must be made available on the Web site required under section
77.35 3.303, subdivision 10, as soon as practicable. Information on the measured outcomes and
77.36 evaluation must be posted as it becomes available.

91.1 county that were acquired in the previous calendar year and certify that amount to the
91.2 commissioner of revenue by March 1.

91.3 (c) Land receiving a onetime payment under this section is not eligible for payments
91.4 under section 97A.061 or 477A.12.

91.5 Subd. 2. Determination of appraised value. For the purposes of this section, the
91.6 appraised value of acquired natural resources land is the purchase price. The appraised
91.7 value of acquired natural resources land received as a donation is the value determined for
91.8 the commissioner of natural resources by a licensed appraiser, or the county assessor's
91.9 estimated market value if the county assessor's estimated market value is less than
91.10 \$100,000 and no appraisal has been done.

91.11 Subd. 3. Transfer; source of funds. (a) An amount necessary to make the payments
91.12 required under this section is annually appropriated to the commissioner of natural
91.13 resources as determined under paragraph (b) or (c), for transfer to the commissioner of
91.14 revenue. The commissioner of revenue shall make the payments required under this
91.15 section to the counties at the same time that the first half of aid payments under sections
91.16 477A.011 to 477A.014 are made.

91.17 (b) An amount necessary to make the payment for acquired natural resources lands
91.18 under this section shall be transferred from the state fund or account that funded the
91.19 acquisition to the general fund. If the acquisition was funded from more than one fund
91.20 or account, the transferred amounts shall be in proportion to the share of funds that each
91.21 fund or account contributed to the acquisition. If a transfer is prohibited from the fund
91.22 or account, the amount needed for this payment shall be appropriated by law to the
91.23 commissioner of natural resources for transfer to the commissioner of revenue.

91.24 (c) For acquired natural resources land acquired by donation, the amount necessary
91.25 to make the payment under this section shall be transferred to the general fund from an
91.26 account or fund identified by the commissioner of natural resources as an account or fund
91.27 with a purpose consistent with the purpose of the acquisition.

91.28 Subd. 4. Use of funds. A county may use the onetime payments distributed under
91.29 this section at the county's discretion, including using the payment to establish an interest
91.30 bearing account to provide funds to offset future expenses incurred by the county in
91.31 support of natural resources lands.

91.32 Sec. 5. EFFECTIVE DATE.

91.33 (a) Sections 1 to 4 are effective July 1, 2009, except that sections 1 to 4 do not apply
91.34 to the Board of Water and Soil Resources until September 30, 2010; and

91.35 (b) Sections 1 to 4 do not apply to any project or appropriation contained in this act.

ROSEAU COUNTY SHERIFF

604 5th Avenue Southwest * Roseau, Minnesota 56751



Sheriff Jule D. Hanson
Office: (218) 463-1421
Fax: (218) 463-1455

May 6, 2009

The Roseau County Sheriff's Office observed an increase in Domestic Complaints, Thefts and Non Sufficient Check Incidents in the Month of April.

Sergeant Kevin Becker has done an excellent job this year with the D.A.R.E. Program at the Badger, Greenbush, Roseau and Middle River Schools. D.A.R.E. Graduation will take place during the Month of May.

The Dispatch and Jail Staff at the Detention Center are continuously busy. The Detention Center averages 20 to 25 inmates per day. Dispatch plays an important role for Roseau County on a daily basis. They answer emergency calls and facilitate paging out the ambulances, fire personnel and officers to where they are needed. Dispatch is often the unsung heroes of the Roseau County Sheriff's Office.

The Sheriff's Office would like to thank Administrative Assistants Jan Klatt and Brandy Christian for the excellent job they do. They are willing to tackle any job that is asked of them. Thanks again!

Most importantly, the Sheriff's Office would like to thank the public for their continued support.

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Jule D. Hanson".

Apr-09

Number	Call
5	Citations for Speed
2	Allowing Open Bottle
19	Administrative Citations
	17 Speed
	1 No Proof of Insurance
	1 Expired Registration
1	Citation for Driving After Cancellation
1	Citation for Driving After Suspension
3	Citation for No Proof of Insurance
1	Citation for Failure to Yield to Pedestrian
1	Report of Lost Property
3	Deer MVA
2	Threats of Suicide
1	Ambulance Calls with Deputy
2	Mental Cases
7	Domestic Complaints
10	Public Assists
	1 Hole in Road
	2 Driving Complaint
	1 Motorist Assist
	5 Assist in Property Exchange
17	Animal Complaints
6	Noise Complaints
3	Harassment Orders Received
2	Order for Protections Received
22	Permit to Acquire
12	Permit to Carry
	Misc
	1 Criminal History Check
	1 Recover Stolen Property
	2 Welfare Check
1	Harassing Phone Calls
3	Attempt to Locate
3	Assist Other Agencies
3	Alarm Checks
2	Tow Calls

7	Suspicious Vehicle
2	Suspicious Persons
11	Adult Transports
3	Juvenile Transports
2	911 Fail Checks
	2 Resulting in no action
4	Arrest on Warrant
91	Traffic Stops
	52 Speed Warnings
	1 Turn-signal Warning
	2 Lane Violation Warning
	2 Impeding Traffic Warning
	2 Inattentive Driving
	2 Suspended Object Warning
	1 No Trailer Lights Warning
	8 No Headlight Warning
	8 Left of Center Warnings
	3 Equipment Violation Warnings
	1 Expired Registration Warning
	1 Failure to Yield Warning
	1 No Minnesota DL Warning
	1 No Minnesota DL Citation
	1 Failure to Display Registration Warning
	1 No Proof of Insurance Citation
	1 No Proof of Insurance Citation
4	Jail Incidents
5	Assaults
2	Terroristic Threats
2	Burglary
2	Drug Offenses
1	Family Crimes
2	Gross Misdemeanor DWI
4	Misdemeanor DWI
3	Minor Consumption under 18
16	Minor Consumption over 18
1	Disorderly Conduct
1	Littering
4	Damage to Property
5	Theft
1	Theft from Building

11	Issuance of Worthless Checks
1	Obstruction of Legal Process
4	Contempt of Court

Subject: April 2009 CFS.xls

Minnesota's Shoreland Rules:

Standards for Lake and River Conservation

April 20, 2009

Executive Summary

The Minnesota Legislature directed the DNR to commence rulemaking to update the statewide minimum shoreland conservation standards (chapter 6120). Local governments are responsible for the implementation, administration, and enforcement of shoreland zoning ordinances that meet or exceed the state's standards. These local ordinances affect shoreland property owners and the use and development of shoreland areas.



Since January 2008, the Shoreland Rule Update Project worked with citizens to assess shoreland conservation standards by reviewing the science related to shoreland conservation, development, and management. The DNR conducted an open process with a high degree of public input and oversight. Five advisory committees were convened to provide advice to the DNR. These advisory committees met regularly and discussed the merits of numerous policy and regulatory options. Twelve open houses were conducted to gain public comment on development standards for lake and river protection, and the DNR talked to over 500 citizens. The DNR convened a series of ten work group meetings across the state to gain feedback from stakeholders on resort-specific items, and over 250 attendees participated in the resort meetings, including resort owners, resort association representatives, and elected and appointed officials from state and local government. Three agricultural forums were held to review and discuss agricultural use standards with over 200 participants including farmers, producers, and local and state government officials.

As part of this project, the DNR also decided to assess the feasibility of integrating the rules governing Minnesota's state-designated Wild and Scenic Rivers (chapter 6105) with the proposed shoreland conservation standards. While there was no advisory committee specific to the Wild and Scenic River rules, the DNR received considerable comments and feedback on the potential to merge the statewide standards and criteria of the Wild and Scenic River rules with the shoreland conservation standards. The primary purpose for integrating the two sets of standard was to simplify administration by eliminating inconsistencies and reducing duplication. In addition, several material changes to the wild, scenic, and recreational river rules are proposed, which include using the shoreland framework for DNR review of local land use decisions, inclusion of stormwater standards, and guidance for conservation subdivisions. The end result of the

proposed rules is a unified set of standards that simplifies management while enhancing resource protection.

The DNR concluded that revisions to the existing shoreland conservation standards are warranted; in fact, they are necessary to address important economic and environmental issues. The state is growing fast, and the rate of development in shorelands is predicted to increase. Many people are concerned about the consequences of poor development on water quality and fish and wildlife habitat. Better development practices can protect water quality, while increasing property values. In addition, the existing shoreland standards needed to be modernized to provide flexibility in use of various tools to address water quality declines and habitat losses and to reflect the diversity in local resource conditions and needs. The Shoreland Rule Update Project was guided by the mission statement from Minnesota Statute 103F.201 which calls for the development of standards that: (1) provide guidance for the wise development of shorelands of public waters and thus preserve and enhance the quality of surface waters; (2) preserve the economic and natural environmental values of shorelands; and (3) provide for the wise use of water and related land resources of the state.

The proposed standards include, but are not limited to:

- ◆ *Better water quality protection standards achieved by improved rainwater runoff management, increased drainfield setbacks, and higher shoreline buffer standards for undeveloped lots;*
- ◆ *Greater protections for vulnerable areas (e.g., sensitive lakeshore, trout streams, bluffs);*
- ◆ *Improved planned unit development standards;*
- ◆ *Specific resort standards that allow for expansion and improvements while addressing water quality and habitat concerns;*
- ◆ *Higher standards for new developments and new lots (e.g., impervious surface, natural areas, shoreline buffers);*
- ◆ *Advanced subdivision controls, including promotion of conservation subdivisions and other creative developments over conventional (lot & block) subdivisions;*
- ◆ *Revisions that allow easier local government implementation, while protecting natural resources and the interests of the general public.*

These proposed state standards incorporate the latest reliable knowledge. It is believed that these standards are pragmatic tools for use by local governments to adapt to specific needs and contexts. For further information, see:
<http://mndnr.gov/waters/shoreland.html>

ITEM # 10:00 Appt

REQUEST FOR BOARD ACTION

* Required Fields



*Person Responsible for Request Klein, Trish	*Department	*Board Meeting Date
--	-----------------------------	--------------------------------------

***Subject Title (As it will appear on the agenda):**
Roseau County Historical Society

***Background (Provide sufficient detail of the subject):**
Museum curator Charlene Haugen has requested an appointment with the Board to discuss the need for additional shelving at the Roseau County Museum. The estimated cost of the shelving is \$5000.

***Financial Consideration:**

***Legal Consideration:**

***Other Consideration:**

***Resolution (Wording should reflect the intent of the Board vote):**

Coordinator's Office Use (Do Not Write Below)

Date Received:	Comments:
-----------------------	------------------

Board Action:

Comm.	Motion (First)	Motion (Second)	Vote			Vote Result
			Yes	No	Abstain	
Swanson						Passed
Johnston						
Folds						Failed
Rasmussen						
Walker						Tabled

ATTEST: Teresa Klein, Board Clerk

ITEM # _____
REQUEST FOR BOARD ACTION
 * Required Fields



*Person Responsible for Request Klein, Trish	*Department	*Board Meeting Date
--	-----------------------------	--------------------------------------

***Subject Title (As it will appear on the agenda):**
 Roseau County Trailblazers

***Background (Provide sufficient detail of the subject):**
 RC Trailblazer Representative Terry Sizemore will meet with the Board to request approval of the 4th Benchmark for the Pelan Trail and BISF Trail under the MN DNR Snowmobile Trails Assistance Program. Mr. Seizer will also provide an overview of the 08/09 season and answer any questions you may have.

***Financial Consideration:**

***Legal Consideration:**

***Other Consideration:**

***Resolution (Wording should reflect the intent of the Board vote):**

Coordinator's Office Use (Do Not Write Below)

Date Received:	Comments:

Board Action:

Comm.	Motion (First)	Motion (Second)	Vote			Vote Result
			Yes	No	Abstain	
Swanson						Passed
Johnston						
Folds						Failed
Rasmussen						
Walker						Tabled

ATTEST: Teresa Klein, Board Clerk

JACK SWANSON COMMITTEE REPORTS

APRIL 28, 2009 - MINNESOTA RURAL COUNTIES CAUCUS (ST PAUL); HEARD FROM A SERIES OF LEGISLATORS (PAUL MARQUART, PAUL ANDERSON, BILL INGEBRITSEN, BERNIE LIEDER, ETC) ON SESSION ISSUES.

APRIL 29, 2009 - ASSOCIATION OF MINNESOTA COUNTIES LEGISLATIVE CONFERENCE (ST PAUL); ROSEAU SCHOOL BOARD CHAIR STU MCFARLANE, ROSEAU COUNTY SOCIAL SERVICES DIRECTOR DAVE ANDERSON AND SWANSON MET WITH STATE SENATOR LEROY STUMPF AND STATE REPRESENTATIVE DAVE OLIN (AND OTHERS) ON ISSUES AFFECTING ROSEAU COUNTY.

APRIL 30, 2009 - A.M.C. LEGISLATIVE CONFERENCE (ST PAUL)

MAY 5, 2009 - ROSEAU COUNTY BOARD MEETING

MAY 6, 2009 - ROSEAU RIVER WATERSHED BOARD; TALKED ABOUT PROPOSAL FOR ELECTED (RATHER THAN APPOINTED) WATERSHED BOARD MANAGERS; AND HEARD ABOUT THE HAY CREEK/NORLAND FLOOD CONTROL PROJECT.

MAY 6, 2009 - CRIMINAL JUSTICE COORDINATING COMMITTEE; TALKED ABOUT MENTAL HEALTH INITIATIVE, AND ABOUT THE PROSPECT FOR YOUTH CHEMICAL DEPENDENCY TREATMENT IN ROSEAU COUNTY.

MAY 7, 2009 - NORTHERN COUNTIES LAND USE COORDINATING BOARD (CHISHOLM); HEARD THE OBERSTAR/FEINGOLD CLEAN WATER RESTORATION ACT IS ON A 'FAST TRACK' IN CONGRESS; NCLUCB CONCERNED PASSAGE WOULD GIVE TOO MUCH AUTHORITY TO THE U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS ... ALSO TALKED ABOUT PUBLIC HEARINGS ON THE WETLAND CONSERVATION RULES.

MAY 11, 2009 - KAMAR BOARD (KARLSTAD)

MAY 11, 2009 - ROSEAU RIVER WATERSHED BOARD & CITY OF ROSEAU; SWANSON IS FACILITATING A DISCUSSION OF THE WATERSHED ASSESSMENT FOR THE WEST INTERCEPTOR (THE CITY IS THE 100% BENEFITED PARTY).