

---

**REVISED - March 13, 2012**

## **REGULAR BOARD MEETING AGENDA**

Notice is hereby given that the Board of Commissioners of Roseau County will meet in session on March 13, 2012 at 9:00 a.m. in the Roseau County Courthouse, Room 110, Roseau, MN, at which time the following matters will come before the Board:

**9:00 Call to Order**

1. Presentation of Colors
2. Approve Agenda
3. Comments and Announcements
4. Approve Bills

**9:10 Delegations/Board Appointments/Public Comments\***

9:10 1. Buddy Erickson – Roseau County Fair Board Update

9:30 2. Roseau County Trailblazers - Capitol Improvement Grant Application  
**3<sup>rd</sup> Benchmark**

**9:45 Consent Agenda**

1. February 28, 2012 Proceedings
- 2. Social Service Supervisor Benefit Payout**

**9:50 County Committee Reports**

**9:50 Department Reports**

**County Attorney**

1. Citizen Complaint Policy; Request for Public Information Policy; Data Fee Schedule; Harassment Policy

**Social Services**

1. Financial Worker Hire

**County Auditor**

1. Commissioner Districts
2. Department of Energy Weatherization Resolution
3. Warroad Golf Course Liquor License

**10:40 BREAK**

**10:50 County Board Items**

1. Board Retreat
2. Support of Small Logging Operations Resolution
3. Teen Journey
4. Jail Bond Re-Financing Discussion
5. Legacy Funding Discussion
6. Commissioner Committee Reports

**11:30 Unfinished Business**

**11:30 Adjourn**

**\*Limited to Five Minutes**

ITEM # Board Appt 1  
**REQUEST FOR BOARD ACTION**  
 \* Required Fields



<b>*Person Responsible for Request</b>	<b>*Department</b>	<b>*Board Meeting Date</b>		
Pelowski, Jeff ▼	Coordinator ▼	Mar ▼	13 ▼	2012 ▼

Amount of time being requested:

**\*Subject Title (As it will appear on the agenda):**  
 Buddy Erickson - Roseau County Fair Board

**\*Background (Provide sufficient detail of the subject):**  
 Buddy Erickson will be meeting with the Board to give an update on the 2012 Roseau County Fair.

**\*Financial Consideration:**

**\*Legal Consideration:**

**\*Other Consideration:**

**\*Resolution (Wording should reflect the intent of the Board vote):**

**Coordinator's Office Use (Do Not Write Below)**

<b>Date Received:</b>	<b>Comments:</b>

**Board Action:**

Comm.	Motion (First)	Motion (Second)	Vote			Vote Result
			Yes	No	Abstain	
Swanson						Passed
Phillipe						
Foldesi						Failed
Falk						
Walker						Tabled

**ATTEST:** Jeff Pelowski, Interim Coordinator

ITEM # Board Appt 2  
**REQUEST FOR BOARD ACTION**  
 \* Required Fields



*Person Responsible for Request	*Department	*Board Meeting Date
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	Mar <input type="text"/> 13 <input type="text"/> 2012 <input type="text"/>

Amount of time being requested: 15-30 min

\*Subject Title (As it will appear on the agenda):  
**Approval for Captial Improvement Grant.**

\*Background (Provide sufficient detail of the subject):  
 The Roseau County Trailblazers need to replace their bridges in our snowmobile trail system. Current bridges are made of wood and are reaching the end of their life cycle. Currently we have identified a total of 14 bridges that need replacing, our plan is to replace our bridges in phases, our intentions are to replace 2-4 bridges a year. The approval by the board for this grant would ease the finicial burden of the club and allow us to improve the safety of our trail system. Trailblazers are also requesting approval of the 3rd Benchmark.

\*Financial Consideration:

\*Legal Consideration:

\*Other Consideration:

\*Resolution (Wording should reflect the intent of the Board vote):

**Coordinator's Office Use (Do Not Write Below)**

Date Received:	Comments:
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

**Board Action:**

Comm.	Motion (First)	Motion (Second)	Vote			Vote Result
			Yes	No	Abstain	
Swanson	<input type="text"/>	Passed <input type="text"/>				
Phillipe	<input type="text"/>					
Foldesi	<input type="text"/>	Failed <input type="text"/>				
Falk	<input type="text"/>					
Walker	<input type="text"/>	Tabled <input type="text"/>				

ATTEST: **Jeff Pelowski, Interim Coordinator**

# SNOWMOBILE TRAILS ASSISTANCE PROGRAM MAINTENANCE AND GROOMING

## Certification of Satisfactory Grooming

2<sup>nd</sup> Benchmark – Due By February 15th

 3<sup>rd</sup> Benchmark – Due By April 15<sup>th</sup>

Trail Name: Roseau Trailblazers/BISF#1

Club/Organization Name: Roseau County Trailblazers, Inc.

Sponsor Name (Local Unit of Government): Roseau County

By signing this form, the Sponsor certifies that the above snowmobile trail has been satisfactorily groomed

\_\_\_\_\_ from opening day through January 15th; or

X  from January 16th through the end of the season or April 1<sup>st</sup>.

Sponsor Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Sponsor Title: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Is there any reason why the Department of Natural Resources should withhold any part of this payment?      YES \_\_\_\_\_ NO \_\_\_\_\_

If yes, please elaborate-

\_\_\_\_\_

**Amount Requested \$ 30,452.10 (Up to 25% of the original contract.)**

Department Use Only

**THIS INVOICE APPROVED FOR PAYMENT BY:**

Area Trails & Waterways Supervisor	Date	FY  12	Amount  \$ 30,452.10
------------------------------------	------	--------------	----------------------------

<input type="checkbox"/> Partial	SWIFT CONTRACT & PO #  C#%33745 PO# 3-7334	Signature
----------------------------------	--	-----------

Invoice Number (Circle One)  <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around;"> <span>Benchmark 2</span> <span> Benchmark 3</span> </div>
---

ITEM # Consent 1  
**REQUEST FOR BOARD ACTION**  
 \* Required Fields



<b>*Person Responsible for Request</b>	<b>*Department</b>	<b>*Board Meeting Date</b>		
Miller, Ann Marie ▼	Administrative Assistant ▼	Mar ▼	13 ▼	2012 ▼

Amount of time being requested:

**\*Subject Title (As it will appear on the agenda):**  
 Approve Proceedings

**\*Background (Provide sufficient detail of the subject):**  
 Proceedings from the February 28, 2012 Board Meeting are being submitted for Board review and approval.

**\*Financial Consideration:**

**\*Legal Consideration:**

**\*Other Consideration:**

**\*Resolution (Wording should reflect the intent of the Board vote):**

**Coordinator's Office Use (Do Not Write Below)**

<b>Date Received:</b>	<b>Comments:</b>

**Board Action:**

Comm.	Motion (First)	Motion (Second)	Vote			Vote Result
			Yes	No	Abstain	
Swanson						Passed
Phillipe						
Foldesi						Failed
Falk						
Walker						Tabled

**ATTEST:** Jeff Pelowski, Interim Coordinator

## **PROCEEDINGS OF THE ROSEAU COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS**

February 28, 2012

The Board of Commissioners of Roseau County, Minnesota met in the Courthouse in the City of Roseau, Minnesota on Tuesday, February 28, 2012 at 9:00 a.m.

### **CALL TO ORDER – ROLL CALL – ESTABLISHMENT OF A QUORUM**

The meeting was called to order at 9:00 a.m. by Board Chair Russell Walker. The Pledge of Allegiance was recited. Commissioners present were Roger Falk, Jack Swanson and Russell Walker. Commissioners Foldesi and Phillipe were excused.

### **APPROVAL OF AGENDA**

Discussion on the Greater Minnesota Advisory Committee was added to County Board Items. A motion to approve the revised agenda was made by Commissioner Falk, seconded by Commissioner Swanson and carried unanimously.

### **COMMENTS AND ANNOUNCEMENTS**

Administrative Assistant Ann Marie Miller notified the Board of the following upcoming events: Lake of the Woods Watershed meeting 3-9-12; 2012 Legislative Conference, March 21-22, 2012; Tri-County Emergency Medical Services District Annual Meeting, 3-29-12; MN Department of Health, Video Conference, Public Health Update, 4-24-12. The Board also acknowledged receipt of the MN DNR Trail Monitoring form for the Trailblazer's Pelan Trail system.

### **APPROVE BILLS**

A motion was made by Commissioner Falk, seconded by Commissioner Swanson and carried unanimously to approve the payment of the following bills: (To Be Inserted)

The Board also approved forthwith payments to Casey Pearson in the amount of \$925.00 and forthwith payments totaling \$51,214.11 for the Motobridge Pilot Project.

### **CONSENT AGENDA**

A motion to adopt the Consent Agenda was made by Commissioner Falk, seconded by Commissioner Swanson and carried unanimously. The Board, by adoption of its Consent Agenda approved the February 14, 2012 Proceedings; approved funding for the Roseau County Tracker Program beginning March 1, 2012, concluding February 28, 2013; approved the IT Administrator anniversary step increase; approved the City of Roseau Rural Fire Contract in the amount of \$2,344.00; approved a Service Contract with Tri-County Emergency Medical Services for the unorganized townships of Juneberry and Blooming Valley; approved payment to LifeCare Medical Center for ambulance service for unorganized townships; approved the MN Department of Natural Resources Right of Entry Authorization for Watercraft Inspections; and, declared March as Women in History Month.

### **COUNTY BOARD ITEMS**

Commissioner Swanson has been asked to serve on the Greater Minnesota Advisory Committee. Swanson noted that this committee consists of representatives from cities, counties, schools and townships working with Greater Minnesota Legislators, the Governor's Office and Agency Commissioners to consider the differences inherent in all of Minnesota. A motion to approve this appointment was made by Commissioner Falk, seconded by Commissioner Walker and carried unanimously.

## COMMITTEE REPORTS

Commissioner Falk reported on the following committee meeting(s): Highway Committee, 2/14/12; Roseau River Watershed Public Hearing, 2/14/12; Warroad Golf Course meeting, 2/15/12; Meeting with constituents on Watershed concerns, 2/20/12; Social Services Board, 2/21/12; Rinke Noonan Drainage Seminar, 2/23/12.

Commissioner Swanson reported on the following committee meeting(s): Highway Committee, 2/14/12; Household Hazardous Waste Committee, 2/15/12; Roseau School Board, 2/16/12; Social Services Board, 2/21/12; Greater Minnesota Advisory Panel, 2/24/12; Roseau County Committee on Aging, 2/27/12.

Commissioner Walker reported on the following committee meeting(s): Highway Committee, 2/14/12; Social Services Board, 2/21/12; Minnesota Rural Counties Caucus, 2/23/12.

Upon motion carried, the Board adjourned the regular meeting at 10:00 a.m. The next regular meeting of the Board is scheduled for March 13, 2012 at 9:00 a.m.

Attest:

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
Jeff Pelowski, Interim County Coordinator  
Roseau County, Minnesota

\_\_\_\_\_  
Russell Walker, Chair  
Board of County Commissioners  
Roseau County, Minnesota

ITEM # Consent 2  
**REQUEST FOR BOARD ACTION**  
 \* Required Fields



<b>*Person Responsible for Request</b>	<b>*Department</b>	<b>*Board Meeting Date</b>		
Anderson, Dave ▼	Social Services Director ▼	Mar ▼	13 ▼	2012 ▼

Amount of time being requested:

**\*Subject Title (As it will appear on the agenda):**  
 Benefit Payout

**\*Background (Provide sufficient detail of the subject):**

A benefit payout is being requested for retiring Social Service Supervisor Pat Roth.

**\*Financial Consideration:**

**\*Legal Consideration:**

**\*Other Consideration:**

**\*Resolution (Wording should reflect the intent of the Board vote):**

**Coordinator's Office Use (Do Not Write Below)**

<b>Date Received:</b>	<b>Comments:</b>

**Board Action:**

Comm.	Motion (First)	Motion (Second)	Vote			Vote Result
			Yes	No	Abstain	
Swanson						Passed
Phillipe						
Foldesi						Failed
Falk						
Walker						Tabled

**ATTEST:** Jeff Pelowski, Interim Coordinator

# SNOWMOBILE TRAILS ASSISTANCE PROGRAM MAINTENANCE AND GROOMING

## Certification of Satisfactory Grooming

2<sup>nd</sup> Benchmark – Due By February 15th

 3<sup>rd</sup> Benchmark – Due By April 15<sup>th</sup>

Trail Name: Roseau Trailblazers/BISF#1

Club/Organization Name: Roseau County Trailblazers, Inc.

Sponsor Name (Local Unit of Government): Roseau County

By signing this form, the Sponsor certifies that the above snowmobile trail has been satisfactorily groomed

from opening day through January 15th; or

from January 16th through the end of the season or April 1<sup>st</sup>.

Sponsor Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Sponsor Title: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Is there any reason why the Department of Natural Resources should withhold any part of this payment?      YES \_\_\_\_\_ NO \_\_\_\_\_  
If yes, please elaborate-

**Amount Requested \$ 30,452.10 (Up to 25% of the original contract.)**

Department Use Only

**THIS INVOICE APPROVED FOR PAYMENT BY:**

Area Trails & Waterways Supervisor	Date	FY	Amount
		12	\$ 30,452.10

<input type="checkbox"/> Partial	<b>SWIFT CONTRACT &amp; PO #</b> C#%33745 PO# 3-7334	<b>Signature</b>  
----------------------------------	--	--------------------------

Invoice Number (Circle One)

Benchmark 2



Benchmark 3

ITEM # Cty Atty 1

**REQUEST FOR BOARD ACTION**

\* Required Fields



<b>*Person Responsible for Request</b>	<b>*Department</b>	<b>*Board Meeting Date</b>
Foss, Karen ▼	Attorney ▼	Mar ▼ 13 ▼ 2012 ▼

Amount of time being requested:

**\*Subject Title (As it will appear on the agenda):**  
 Request for Board Approval of Policies Drafted

**\*Background (Provide sufficient detail of the subject):**  
 Policy on Data Access and Fee Schedule, Violence, Threat of Violence and Harassment Policy and Incident Report, and Citizen Complaint Policy and Complaint Form

**\*Financial Consideration:**

**\*Legal Consideration:**

**\*Other Consideration:**

**\*Resolution (Wording should reflect the intent of the Board vote):**

**Coordinator's Office Use (Do Not Write Below)**

<b>Date Received:</b>	<b>Comments:</b>

**Board Action:**

Comm.	Motion (First)	Motion (Second)	Vote			Vote Result
			Yes	No	Abstain	
Swanson						Passed
Phillipe						
Foldesi						Failed
Falk						
Walker						Tabled

**ATTEST:** Jeff Pelowski, Interim Coordinator

# ROSEAU COUNTY POLICY ON ACCESS TO GOVERNMENT DATA

## **Right to Access Public Data**

The Data Practices Act (Minnesota Statutes, Chapter 13) presumes that all government data are public unless a state or federal law says the data are not public. Government data is a term that means all recorded information a government entity has, including paper, email, CD-ROMs, photographs, etc.

The Data Practices Act also provides that Roseau County must keep all government data in a way that makes it easy for you, as a member of the public, to access public data. You have the right to look at (inspect), free of charge, all public data that we keep. You also have the right to get copies of public data. The Data Practices Act allows us to charge for copies. You have the right to look at data, free of charge, before deciding to request copies.

## **How to Make a Data Request**

To look at data or request copies of data that Roseau County keeps, Roseau County asks that you make your request in writing. Make your written request for data to the appropriate individual. You may make your written request for data by mail, fax, or email, using the data request form below.

Your written request must include:

- that you, as a member of the public, are making a request for data under the Data Practices Act, Minnesota Statutes, Chapter 13;
- whether you would like to look at the data, get copies of the data, or both; and
- a clear description of the data you would like to inspect or have copied.

Roseau County cannot require you, as a member of the public, to identify yourself or explain the reason for your data request. However, depending on how you want us to process your request (if, for example, you want us to mail you copies of data), we may need some information about you. If you choose not to give us any identifying information, we will provide you with contact information so you may check on the status of your request. In addition, please keep in mind that if we do not understand your request and have no way to contact you, we will not be able to begin processing your request.

## **How We Respond to a Data Request**

Upon receiving your written request, we will work to process it.

- If we do not have the data, we will notify you in writing as soon as reasonably possible.
- If we have the data, but the data are not public, we will notify you in writing as soon as reasonably possible and state which specific law says the data are not public.
- If we have the data, and the data are public, we will respond to your request appropriately and promptly, within a reasonable amount of time by doing one of the following:
  - arrange a date, time, and place to inspect data, for free, if your request is to look at the data,

- provide you with copies of the data as soon as reasonably possible. You may choose to pick up your copies, or we will mail or fax them to you. If you want us to send you the copies, you will need to provide us with an address or fax number. We will provide electronic copies (such as email or CD-ROM) upon request if we keep the data in electronic format. We also will arrange for you to pre-pay for the copies as necessary.

The Data Practices Act does not require us to create or collect new data in response to a data request if we do not already have the data, or to provide data in a specific form or arrangement if we do not keep the data in that form or arrangement. In addition, the Data Practices Act does not require us to answer questions that are not requests for data.

# ROSEAU COUNTY FEE SCHEDULE FOR DATA REQUESTS

## Fees for Data Requests

Roseau County charges members of the public for copies of government data. These charges are authorized under Minnesota Statutes, section 13.03, subdivision 3(c). You must pay for the copies before we will give them to you.

Under MN Statute 13.03, Subd. 3(c) The responsible authority or designee shall provide copies of public data upon request. If a person requests copies or electronic transmittal of the data to the person, the responsible authority may require the requesting person to pay the actual costs of searching for and retrieving government data, including the cost of employee time, and for making, certifying, and electronically transmitting the copies of the data or the data, but may not charge for separating public from not public data. However, if 100 or fewer pages of black and white, letter or legal size paper copies are requested, actual costs shall not be used, and instead, the responsible authority may charge no more than 25 cents for each page copied. If the responsible authority or designee is not able to provide copies at the time a request is made, copies shall be supplied as soon as reasonably possible.

### 1. For 100 or Fewer Paper Copies – 25 Cents Per Page

100 or fewer pages of black and white, letter or legal size paper copies cost **25¢** for a one-sided copy, or 50¢ for a two-sided copy.

### 2. Most Other Types of Copies – Actual Cost

The charge for most other types of copies, when a charge is not set by statute or rule, is the actual cost of searching for and retrieving the data, and making the copies or electronically transmitting the data (e.g. sending the data by email).

#### A. In determining the actual cost of making copies, we factor in:

- a. employee time,
- b. the cost of the materials onto which we are copying the data (paper, **CD \$15.00, DVD \$15.00**, etc.), and
- c. mailing costs (if any).

If your request is for copies of data that we cannot reproduce ourselves, such as photographs, we will charge you the actual cost we must pay an outside vendor for the copies.

The cost of employee time to search for data, retrieve data, and make copies is subject to change based on the clerk's wage from the department the information is requested from.

If, because of the subject matter of your request, we find it necessary for a higher-paid employee to search for and retrieve the data, we will calculate the search and retrieval portion of the copy charge at the higher salary/wage.

# **VIOLENCE, THREAT OF VIOLENCE AND HARASSMENT POLICY**

## **I. POLICY STATEMENT**

It is the policy of Roseau County (hereinafter County) to maintain an environment free from violence, threats and harassment. The County prohibits any form of violence, threats and harassment including violence, threats and harassment based on race, national origin, sexual/gender, religion, disability, age, marital status, status with regard to public assistance or sexual orientation.

The County will investigate all complaints, either formal or informal, verbal or written, of violence, threats and/or harassment involving any employee, intern, volunteer, elected/appointed official or other associate of the County, paid or unpaid, within the workplace or in the commission of their assigned duties.

## **II. DEFINITIONS:**

**Violence:** Any act or instance of intentional physical harm or the threat of physical harm. This includes the intentional destruction of property.

**Harassment:** Repeated, intrusive or unwanted acts, words or gestures that reasonably appear to adversely affect the workplace environment, safety, security or privacy of another regardless of the relationship between the individuals.

Harassment occurs when:

a. The conduct or communication of a harassing nature is repeated and has the purpose or effect of substantially interfering with an individual's employment or creates an intimidating, hostile or offensive employment or public service/accommodation environment.

b. The submission to the repeated harassing conduct is made a term or condition, implicitly or explicitly, of obtaining or retaining employment or of obtaining or retaining public services/accommodations.

c. The submission to the repeated harassing conduct is used as a factor in affecting that individual's employment or access to public services/accommodations.

Sexual/Gender Based Harassment: Unwelcome sexual advances, requests for sexual favors, sexually motivated physical conduct, verbal or other communication of a sexual nature.

Workplace: Any place where County business is being conducted. This includes but is not limited to offices, waiting areas, hallways, conference rooms, interviewing rooms, vehicles, out of court house sites and client homes.

### **III. REPORTING**

Any person who believes he or she has been a victim of violence, has had a threat of violence or harassment within the workplace or in the commission of their assigned duties shall complete an incident report and forward a copy to the Attorney and Sheriff's Department for investigating. If necessary, the aggrieved party may file a Harassment/Restraining Order with Court Administration.

Any threat of litigation received by an employee, intern, elected/appointed official or other associate of the County shall immediately cease all communication with the person threatening litigation and immediately report it to the County Attorney's office.

### **IV. COUNTY ACTION**

The Sheriff's Department will take such action as appropriate based on the results of the investigation including filing criminal charges if necessary.

Adopted -----

**VIOLENCE OR THREAT OF VIOLENCE INCIDENT REPORT**

Name of person filing report: \_\_\_\_\_

Phone #: \_\_\_\_\_ Cell #: \_\_\_\_\_

Department: \_\_\_\_\_ Job Title: \_\_\_\_\_

Immediate Supervisor: \_\_\_\_\_

**TYPE OF INCIDENT:** (Check one or more as applies)

\_\_\_\_\_ Physical Assault      \_\_\_\_\_ Face-to-Face Threat      \_\_\_\_\_ Property Damage

\_\_\_\_\_ Telephone Threat      \_\_\_\_\_ Theft/Robbery      \_\_\_\_\_ Harassment

\_\_\_\_\_ Sexual Harassment

\_\_\_\_\_ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Name of Offending Party: \_\_\_\_\_ Phone #: \_\_\_\_\_

Address and Phone Number of Offending Party (if available):

Date of incident \_\_\_\_\_ Time of Incident: \_\_\_\_\_ a.m./p.m.

Location of Incident: \_\_\_\_\_

Please give factual detailed account of events by identifying party (ies) involved, date (s) of occurrence (s), and description of occurrence (s). \_\_\_\_\_

Was the Immediate Supervisor Notified?      \_\_\_\_\_ Yes      \_\_\_\_\_ No

Was the Roseau County Sheriff's Department Notified?      \_\_\_\_\_ Yes      \_\_\_\_\_ No

Was a Police Report Filed?      \_\_\_\_\_ Yes      \_\_\_\_\_ No

Witness List: Name (s) (indicate if staff members) & Address or Phone Number (if available)

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
SIGNATURE (Person filing report)

\_\_\_\_\_  
DATE

**SUBMIT THIS INCIDENT REPORT TO THE COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE OR SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT FOR INVESTIGATION**

# **CITIZEN COMPLAINT/GRIEVANCE POLICY**

## **I. POLICY STATEMENT**

In order to provide an orderly and just means for considering and resolving alleged complaints against Roseau County employees, Roseau County adopts the following procedure.

Any citizen who has a complaint **SHALL** provide written documentation of said complaint by filing out a Citizen Complaint Form as provided on the Roseau County Website (under downloads) or may get the form from the County Coordinator's office.

The completed documentation is to be returned to the head of the department in which the complaint arose or the Coordinator's office within 30 days after the event causing the complaint occurred. Thereafter, the County Coordinator may schedule a meeting with the complainant and the appropriate committee to attempt a resolution of the matter. The appropriate committee or designated representative shall investigate the complaint and may make recommendations to the committee and/or County Board if action is needed.



ITEM # Soc Serv 1

**REQUEST FOR BOARD ACTION**

\* Required Fields



<b>*Person Responsible for Request</b>	<b>*Department</b>	<b>*Board Meeting Date</b>		
Anderson, Dave ▼	Social Services Director ▼	Mar ▼	13 ▼	2012 ▼

Amount of time being requested:

**\*Subject Title (As it will appear on the agenda):**  
 Financial Worker Hire

**\*Background (Provide sufficient detail of the subject):**  
 Due to the retirement of a financial worker Dave Anderson is requesting Board approval to advertise for this position.

**\*Financial Consideration:**

**\*Legal Consideration:**

**\*Other Consideration:**

**\*Resolution (Wording should reflect the intent of the Board vote):**

**Coordinator's Office Use (Do Not Write Below)**

<b>Date Received:</b>	<b>Comments:</b>

**Board Action:**

Comm.	Motion (First)	Motion (Second)	Vote			Vote Result
			Yes	No	Abstain	
Swanson						Passed
Phillipe						
Foldesi						Failed
Falk						
Walker						Tabled

**ATTEST:** Jeff Pelowski, Interim Coordinator

ITEM # Auditor #1  
**REQUEST FOR BOARD ACTION**  
 \* Required Fields



<b>*Person Responsible for Request</b>	<b>*Department</b>	<b>*Board Meeting Date</b>
Monsrud, Martie ▼	Auditor ▼	Mar ▼ 13 ▼ 2012 ▼

Amount of time being requested:

**\*Subject Title (As it will appear on the agenda):**  
 Re-districting Commissioner Districts

**\*Background (Provide sufficient detail of the subject):**

**\*Financial Consideration:**

**\*Legal Consideration:**

**\*Other Consideration:**

**\*Resolution (Wording should reflect the intent of the Board vote):**

**Coordinator's Office Use (Do Not Write Below)**

<b>Date Received:</b>	<b>Comments:</b>

**Board Action:**

Comm.	Motion (First)	Motion (Second)	Vote			Vote Result
			Yes	No	Abstain	
Swanson						Passed
Phillipe						
Foldesi						Failed
Falk						
Walker						Tabled

**ATTEST:** Jeff Pelowski, Interim Coordinator

## ROSEAU COUNTY REDISTRICTING - 2012

March 2012

Commissioner District No.	2010 Population	
1	3,871	
2	3,201	
3	2,866	
4	2,968	
5	2,723	
Total	15,629	(Average Population = 3,129)

**M.S. 375.025**, Subdivision 1, states:

Each district shall be composed of contiguous territory as regular and compact in form as practicable; depending upon the geography of the county involved and shall be as nearly equal in population as possible. No district shall vary in population more than ten percent from the average for all districts in the county, unless the result forces a voting precinct to be split.

When it appears after a federal census that the districts of the county are not in accord with the standards set forth in this subdivision, the county shall be redistricted by the county board within the times set in section 204B.135.

- A 10% variation in population is allowable for each district. Following are the limits:

Upper Limit = 3,126

Lower Limit = 2,813

- The populations of Districts No. 2, No. 3, and No. 4 fall within the allowable variation.
- The population of District No. 1 exceeds the allowable variation. It is likely that the Lake Town Board will recommend that Lake Township should not be split into two separate precincts. (In 2002, the Lake Town Board recommended that the township should not be split.)

- The population of District No. 5 falls below the lower limit.

### **REDISTRICTING TIMELINE:**

- March 13, 2012: The County Board will begin review of its redistricting options.
- March 13, 2012: County Attorney Karen Foss and County Auditor Martie Monsrud will meet with the County Board to discuss the Redistricting Plan. The County Board shall (1) determine the boundary lines for the five commissioner districts, (2) adopt a resolution detailing the Roseau County Redistricting Plan (names of the townships and cities within each of the proposed commissioner districts), and (3) set a date for a public hearing on the Roseau County Redistricting Plan.

M.S. 375.025, Subdivision 1, states: The County Board shall publish three weeks' notice of its purpose, stating the time and place of the meeting where the matter will be considered, in the newspaper having the contract to publish the commissioners' proceedings for the county for the current year. (County Attorney Michelle Moren recommends that a map should also be published and that publication should be made in the three county newspapers.)

- On or before May 8, 2012: The County Board shall hold a public hearing to present the Roseau County Redistricting Plan.
- Following the public hearing: The County Board shall approve the Roseau County Redistricting Plan and file it with the County Auditor. The Redistricting Plan shall be effective on the 31<sup>st</sup> day after filing.

M.S. 375.025, Subdivision 4, states: When a county is redistricted, there shall be a new election of commissioners in all the districts at the next general election except that if the change made in the boundaries of a district is less than five percent of the average of all districts of the county, the commissioner in office at the time of the redistricting shall serve for the full period for which elected.

ITEM # Auditor #2  
**REQUEST FOR BOARD ACTION**  
 \* Required Fields



<b>*Person Responsible for Request</b>	<b>*Department</b>	<b>*Board Meeting Date</b>		
Monsrud, Martie ▼	Auditor ▼	Mar ▼	13 ▼	2012 ▼

Amount of time being requested:

**\*Subject Title (As it will appear on the agenda):**  
 Weatherization Resolution

**\*Background (Provide sufficient detail of the subject):**  
 A letter from Northwest Community Action was received which requests the Roseau County Board of Commissioners approve a resolution in support of continuing the US Department of Energy's Weatherization Program.

**\*Financial Consideration:**

**\*Legal Consideration:**

**\*Other Consideration:**

**\*Resolution (Wording should reflect the intent of the Board vote):**  
 Resolution Supporting Minnesota's DOE Weatherization Program is attached.

**Coordinator's Office Use (Do Not Write Below)**

<b>Date Received:</b>	<b>Comments:</b>

**Board Action:**

Comm.	Motion (First)	Motion (Second)	Vote			Vote Result
			Yes	No	Abstain	
Swanson						Passed
Phillipe						
Foldesi						Failed
Falk						
Walker						Tabled

**ATTEST:** Jeff Pelowski, Interim Coordinator

## Resolution Supporting Minnesota's DOE Weatherization Program

**Whereas,** Minnesota is one of the coldest states in the United States (ranking 47<sup>th</sup> in average annual temperature); and

**Whereas,** the weatherization of homes is critical to the reduction of energy usage and the introduction of green house gasses into the atmosphere; and

**Whereas,** the State of Minnesota's DOE Weatherization Program has been very high performing and has met or exceeded all program requirements established by DOE Program under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA ) including completion of the program ahead of schedule; and

**Whereas,** energy related costs (electricity, fuel oil and liquid propane) have increased dramatically over the past few years; and

**Whereas,** dwellings which have been weatherized under the DOE program in Minnesota have realized a 23% reduction in energy usage; and

**Whereas,** the DOE Weatherization Program has been the second greatest creator of jobs under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA); and

**Whereas,** the primary beneficiaries of the Weatherization Program have been low-income households who can least afford higher energy costs and are unable to afford making the necessary weatherization improvements.

**Now Therefore Be It Resolved** that the Roseau County Board of Commissioners go on record as strongly opposing the U.S. Department of Energy's (DOE) decision to provide no funding to the State of Minnesota in FY 2012;

**Be It Further Resolved** that the Roseau County Board of Commissioners request that DOE reconsiders this decision and takes the necessary steps to re-establish adequate funding to the State of Minnesota;

**Be It Further Resolved** that a copy of this resolution be immediately forwarded to the State of Minnesota's Congressional delegation with a request that they intervene on behalf of the State to help assure that weatherization funding is re-established in Minnesota in FY 2012.

I CERTIFY THAT the above resolution was adopted by the County Board of Commissioners of Roseau County, Minnesota on \_\_\_\_\_.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Chairman

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

ITEM # Auditor #3  
**REQUEST FOR BOARD ACTION**  
 \* Required Fields



<b>*Person Responsible for Request</b>	<b>*Department</b>	<b>*Board Meeting Date</b>		
Monsrud, Martie ▼	Auditor ▼	Mar ▼	13 ▼	2012 ▼

Amount of time being requested:

**\*Subject Title (As it will appear on the agenda):**  
 Approve 2012 Liquor Licenses

**\*Background (Provide sufficient detail of the subject):**  
 Approve 2012 Liquor License for Warroad Estates Golf Course.

**\*Financial Consideration:**

**\*Legal Consideration:**

**\*Other Consideration:**

**\*Resolution (Wording should reflect the intent of the Board vote):**  
 See attached.

**Coordinator's Office Use (Do Not Write Below)**

<b>Date Received:</b>	<b>Comments:</b>

**Board Action:**

Comm.	Motion (First)	Motion (Second)	Vote			Vote Result
			Yes	No	Abstain	
Swanson						Passed
Phillipe						
Foldesi						Failed
Falk						
Walker						Tabled

**ATTEST:** Jeff Pelowski, Interim Coordinator

ITEM #     Cty Bd #1    

**REQUEST FOR BOARD ACTION**

\* Required Fields



<b>*Person Responsible for Request</b>	<b>*Department</b>	<b>*Board Meeting Date</b>		
Swanson, Jack ▼	District 2 Commissioner ▼	Mar ▼	13 ▼	2012 ▼

Amount of time being requested:

**\*Subject Title (As it will appear on the agenda):**  
 Board Retreat

**\*Background (Provide sufficient detail of the subject):**  
 The Board will discuss details for a Commissioner/Department Head Retreat which will be facilitated by the Association of Minnesota Counties.

**\*Financial Consideration:**

**\*Legal Consideration:**

**\*Other Consideration:**

**\*Resolution (Wording should reflect the intent of the Board vote):**

**Coordinator's Office Use (Do Not Write Below)**

<b>Date Received:</b>	<b>Comments:</b>

**Board Action:**

Comm.	Motion (First)	Motion (Second)	Vote			Vote Result
			Yes	No	Abstain	
Swanson						Passed
Phillipe						
Foldesi						Failed
Falk						
Walker						Tabled

**ATTEST:** Jeff Pelowski, Interim Coordinator

ITEM #            Cty Bd #2

**REQUEST FOR BOARD ACTION**

\* Required Fields



<b>*Person Responsible for Request</b>	<b>*Department</b>	<b>*Board Meeting Date</b>		
Swanson, Jack ▼	District 2 Commissioner ▼	Mar ▼	13 ▼	2012 ▼

Amount of time being requested:

**\*Subject Title (As it will appear on the agenda):**  
**Support For Small Logging Operations Resolution**

**\*Background (Provide sufficient detail of the subject):**  
 The Board will review a draft resolution supporting small logging operations in the State of Minnesota.

**\*Financial Consideration:**

**\*Legal Consideration:**

**\*Other Consideration:**

**\*Resolution (Wording should reflect the intent of the Board vote):**

**Coordinator's Office Use (Do Not Write Below)**

<b>Date Received:</b>	<b>Comments:</b>

**Board Action:**

Comm.	Motion (First)	Motion (Second)	Vote			Vote Result
			Yes	No	Abstain	
Swanson						Passed
Phillipe						
Foldesi						Failed
Falk						
Walker						Tabled

**ATTEST:** Jeff Pelowski, Interim Coordinator

WHEREAS, Minn. Statute 90.121 Intermediate Auction Sales enables Department of Natural Resources Commissioner to sell timber on any tract of State land in lots not exceeding 3,000 cords in volume in the same manner as timber sold at public auctions under Section 90.101, and related laws subject to special exceptions and limitations; and

WHEREAS, small independent loggers are finding it increasingly difficult to access public timber; and

WHEREAS, many small rural communities are dependent on the jobs and economic success of independent loggers.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, member counties of the Northern Counties Land Use Coordinating Board support changes to Minn. Statute that would allow St. Louis County and 12 other timber producing counties to legally conduct intermediate sales, much the same at the State of Minnesota.

ITEM #     Cty Bd #3    

**REQUEST FOR BOARD ACTION**

\* Required Fields



<b>*Person Responsible for Request</b>	<b>*Department</b>	<b>*Board Meeting Date</b>		
Swanson, Jack ▼	District 2 Commissioner ▼	Mar ▼	13 ▼	2012 ▼

Amount of time being requested:

**\*Subject Title (As it will appear on the agenda):**  
 Roseau County Teen Journey Program

**\*Background (Provide sufficient detail of the subject):**  
 The Board will discuss funding for the Teen Journey program. The Department of Corrections funding for this program will conclude in September 2012.

**\*Financial Consideration:**

**\*Legal Consideration:**

**\*Other Consideration:**

**\*Resolution (Wording should reflect the intent of the Board vote):**

**Coordinator's Office Use (Do Not Write Below)**

<b>Date Received:</b>	<b>Comments:</b>

**Board Action:**

Comm.	Motion (First)	Motion (Second)	Vote			Vote Result
			Yes	No	Abstain	
Swanson						Passed <input type="checkbox"/>
Phillipe						
Foldesi						Failed <input type="checkbox"/>
Falk						
Walker						Tabled <input type="checkbox"/>

**ATTEST:** Jeff Pelowski, Interim Coordinator

ITEM #  Cty Bd #4

**REQUEST FOR BOARD ACTION**

\* Required Fields



<b>*Person Responsible for Request</b>	<b>*Department</b>	<b>*Board Meeting Date</b>
Pelowski, Jeff ▼	Interim Coordinator ▼	Mar ▼ 13 ▼ 2012 ▼

Amount of time being requested:

**\*Subject Title (As it will appear on the agenda):**  
 Jail Bond Re-Financing Discussion

**\*Background (Provide sufficient detail of the subject):**  
 Carolyn Drude, a representative from Ehlers and Associates met with Coordinator Pelowski, Auditor Monsrud and Treasurer Gregerson to discuss jail bond re-financing options. The Board will be updated on options and continue discussion.

**\*Financial Consideration:**

**\*Legal Consideration:**

**\*Other Consideration:**

**\*Resolution (Wording should reflect the intent of the Board vote):**

**Coordinator's Office Use (Do Not Write Below)**

<b>Date Received:</b>	<b>Comments:</b>

**Board Action:**

Comm.	Motion (First)	Motion (Second)	Vote			Vote Result
			Yes	No	Abstain	
Swanson						Passed
Phillipe						
Foldesi						Failed
Falk						
Walker						Tabled

**ATTEST:** Jeff Pelowski, Interim Coordinator

ITEM #     Cty Bd #5    

**REQUEST FOR BOARD ACTION**

\* Required Fields



<b>*Person Responsible for Request</b>	<b>*Department</b>	<b>*Board Meeting Date</b>
Phillipe, Glenda ▼	District 1 Commissioner ▼	Mar ▼ 13 ▼ 2012 ▼

Amount of time being requested:

**\*Subject Title (As it will appear on the agenda):**  
 Legacy Funding Discussion

**\*Background (Provide sufficient detail of the subject):**  
 Discuss MRCC's request for support of legislative action eliminating the 25% local match & \$500,000 per project cap requirements pertaining to park & trail legacy projects. These requirements only exist for non-metro counties.

**\*Financial Consideration:**

**\*Legal Consideration:**

**\*Other Consideration:**

**\*Resolution (Wording should reflect the intent of the Board vote):**

**Coordinator's Office Use (Do Not Write Below)**

<b>Date Received:</b>	<b>Comments:</b>

**Board Action:**

Comm.	Motion (First)	Motion (Second)	Vote			Vote Result
			Yes	No	Abstain	
Swanson						Passed
Phillipe						
Foldesi						Failed
Falk						
Walker						Tabled

**ATTEST:** Jeff Pelowski, Interim Coordinator

**From:** Dan Larson [mailto:dan27@frontiernet.net]

**Sent:** Wednesday, March 07, 2012 10:41 AM

**To:** Phil Gausman; Brian McBride; Kevin Campbell; Don Niemi; Tom Hanson; Jerry Dahl; David Kircher; Marvin Gunderson; Gary Kiesow; Karen Ahmann; Mike Hanson; Dave Salberg; Keith Swanson; Gary Kneisl; Nathan Redland; Kenneth Olson; Larry Krohn; Frank Thompson; Jim Thoreen; Scott Peters; Janet Simonen; Janet Raguse; Roxy Traxler; Brian Giese; Emily McDougall; Brian Berg; Teresa Jaksa; William Schalow; John Hoscheid; Chad Van Santen; Bob Schmitz; Jeffrey D. Pelowski; Trish Klein; Pat Melvin; Rick Munter; Marilyn Gustafson; Patrick Wussow; Jack Schmalenberg; Jack Swanson; Janice Hall; Dan Olson; Jack Frost; Ed Arneson; Rusty Eichorn; Paul Gerde; Russell Walker; Steve Jacobson; Mark Foldesi; Kermit Terlinden; Jim Johnson; Fritz Sobanja; Todd Beckel; Larry Kittelson; Patricia Beckel; Don Munsterman; Sharon Bring; Nathan Redland; Grant Weyland; Paul Watzke; Anne Marcotte; Craig Spilde; Frank Courteau; Anne Marcotte.; Davin Tinguist; Dan Stengseng; Jerry Johnson; Joe Bouvette; David Geray; Roger Falk; Warren Strandell; John W. Anderson; Roger Tellinhguisen; Betty Younggren; Bruce Martinson; Ray Bayerl; Brent Strand; Duane Hayes; Glenda Phillipe; Brian Napstad; Paul Anderson; Ken Moorman; Todd Johnson; Bev Bales; Todd Schneeberger; John Chevalier

**Subject:** Fwd: MRCC / Support Local Match Effort

MRCC Leaders:

I communicated the message below - and a follow-up today, to 22 non MRCC member counties in an effort to raise the profile of the group and elicit support for our efforts on these bills. I'd like you to look over the list and make contact with any commissioners and/or key staff from any of these counties you may have relationships with and ask them to get involved.

You wanted the group to be more rural-centric, to do more outreach, and to get members more involved. This is a clear cut metro/rural issue. You are working arm-in-arm with a greater MN park and trail group you helped create. You've got support on the bill from the Coalition of Greater Minnesota Cities, and the issue is tee-up for you to get involved.

People are starting to take notice of this scrappy little group of counties. Let's not be bashful about going out and asking other counties to get involved...

The message is:

The MN Rural Counties Caucus is working to eliminate the 25% local match and \$500,000 per project cap on park and trail legacy projects. These provisions do not apply to counties in the seven county metro area. We are working hard to uncover inequities like this and to correct them - but we could use your help. Please heed the request from Dan Larson, our administrator, to add your county to those of the MRCC who support this effort.

Here is the list of non-metro counties we are communicating with:

Swift, Lake, Hubbard, Lac Qui Parle, Kanebec, Isanti, Cass, Crow Wing, Wadena, Wilkin, Big Stone, Chippewa, Becker, Morrison, Carlton, Beltrami, Pine, Sibley, Yellow Medicine, Houston, Wabasha, Todd.

Thanks!

Dan Larson  
MRCC Administrator  
612-210-2493

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** Dan Larson <[dan27@frontiernet.net](mailto:dan27@frontiernet.net)>  
**Date:** March 5, 2012 4:29:41 PM CST  
**Subject:** MRCC / Support Local Match Effort

# MRCC

Minnesota Rural Counties Caucus

To Our Non-Member Counties:

The MN Rural Counties Caucus has initiated an aggressive 2012 legislative campaign focusing on rural equity in the areas of roads, bridges, parks and trails, and workforce development.

We've already testified and built support for needed local road and bridge bonding, state funded mitigation for local road wetland impacts (a program the MRCC initiated in 1996), and an internship program designed to help provide a link between MnSCU and U of M students to careers in Greater MN businesses and stronger communities.

***This week we begin our effort to eliminate the 25% local match for Park and Trail Legacy projects, and a \$500,000 per project cap. Both of these requirements are inherently unfair to non-metro counties, as they only pertain to projects in counties outside the seven county metro area.***

HF2270, Torkelson, R - Nelson Twp. will be heard tomorrow (Tuesday, March 6th) in the House Legacy Committee, and

SF1732, Pederson, R - St. Cloud, will be heard tomorrow as well, in the Senate Environment and Natural Resource Committee.

We've got good testifiers lined up and a good presentation ready to go.

[https://www.revisor.mn.gov/revisor/pages/search\\_status/status\\_detail.php?b=House&f=HF2270&ssn=0&y=2012](https://www.revisor.mn.gov/revisor/pages/search_status/status_detail.php?b=House&f=HF2270&ssn=0&y=2012)

You may recall last year the MRCC, together with the Greater MN Regional Park and Trail Coalition - a group the MRCC was instrumental in helping to create - was able to secure Park and Trail Legacy funding for the 80 counties outside the seven county metropolitan area for 2012 (\$7.1 million), *that was equal to nearly as much as the 80 non-metro counties received in-total over the last 10 years.* The appropriation for 2013 is \$7.4 million and every dollar is dedicated to Greater Minnesota. Previous to our efforts last year - not one nickel of Park and Trail Legacy money was dedicated to Greater Minnesota - while metro and DNR parks and trails split 86% of the overall Legacy funding in 43% equal dedicated shares.

This year we are back again and we'd like your help. We're building a list of counties outside our membership that support the MRCC and GMRPTC effort to eliminate the local match and project cap requirements on Park and Trail Legacy grants for Greater Minnesota - *and we'd like to be able to list your county as supportive*. The header on the list will read as follows:

***The counties listed below appreciate Sen. Pederson and Rep. Torkelson's effort to eliminate the local match requirement and per project cap on Park and Trail Legacy grants outside the seven county metropolitan area. Please support SF1732 and HF2270:***

We're building the list for the long haul (beyond tomorrow's committee hearings) but hope you will get back to us just as quickly as you can by replying to this notice and request for action.

Thanks for your consideration. We know a lot of you are already benefitting from our efforts last year and we're going to continue to stay on track. I'm sending this to key staff and commissioners. Please discuss as a board and get back to me.

Also - watch for news of our efforts on Lottery in Lieu funding which will be coming out soon.

Dan Larson, Administrator  
MN Rural Counties Caucus  
612-210-2493

Aitkin / Clay / Clearwater / Cook / Douglas / Grant / Itasca / Kittson / Koochiching / Lake of the Woods / Mahnomon / Marshall / McLeod / Mille  
Lacs

Norman / Otter Tail / Polk / Pennington / Pope / Red Lake / Roseau / Stevens / Traverse

ITEM # Cty Board #6  
**REQUEST FOR BOARD ACTION**  
 \* Required Fields



<b>*Person Responsible for Request</b>	<b>*Department</b>	<b>*Board Meeting Date</b>		
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	Mar	13	2012

**\*Subject Title (As it will appear on the agenda):**  
 Committee Reports

**\*Background (Provide sufficient detail of the subject):**  
 Commissioners Phillipe and Swanson have submitted committee reports for Board review.

**\*Financial Consideration:**

**\*Legal Consideration:**

**\*Other Consideration:**

**\*Resolution (Wording should reflect the intent of the Board vote):**

**Coordinator's Office Use (Do Not Write Below)**

<b>Date Received:</b>	<b>Comments:</b>
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

**Board Action:**

Comm.	Motion (First)	Motion (Second)	Vote			Vote Result
			Yes	No	Abstain	
Swanson	<input type="text"/>	Passed <input type="text"/>				
Phillipe	<input type="text"/>	Failed <input type="text"/>				
Foldesi	<input type="text"/>					
Falk	<input type="text"/>	Tabled <input type="text"/>				
Walker	<input type="text"/>					

**ATTEST:** Jeff Pelowski, Interim Coordinator

**Roseau County Board**  
**February 2012 Committee Report – continued**  
**Glenda A. Phillipe**

**February 27 - Legacy/DNR Parks and Trails Advisory Committee – St. Paul**

Meeting with 17-member advisory committee. Introductions. Background information. Will meet in May to begin promoting and coordinating implementation of the Parks and Trails Legacy Plan.

**February 29 – Warroad Watershed - Warroad**

Discussed Roseau meeting with state senators regarding DNR issues in state forest lands; discussed several possibilities for Legacy grants; Warroad City Council approved \$5,000 matching funds for Clean Water Grant; in the process of filling out a Northwest Minnesota Foundation grant for additional funding.

**Roseau County Board**  
**March 2012 Committee Report**  
**Glenda A. Phillipe**

**March 4 – One Woman 2012 – Roseau**

Cut the ribbon for the exhibit.

**March 6 – Sheriff’s Department – Roseau**

COW

**March 6 – Courthouse Department Heads – Roseau**

COW

**March 7 – Operations – Roseau**

COW

March 12 – Warroad City Council – Warroad

March 12 – Warroad School Council – Warroad

March 13 – Roseau County Board – Roseau

March 19 – Land of the Dancing Sky Agency on Aging – Mahnomon

March 22 – Lake Township – Warroad

March 26 – Warroad City Council – Warroad

March 27 – Roseau County Board – Warroad

## JACK SWANSON COMMITTEE MEETINGS

FEBRUARY 28 - ROSEAU COUNTY TOWNSHIP OFFICERS ANNUAL MEETING

FEBRUARY 29 - ROSEAU ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

FEBRUARY 29 - COLLABORATIVE GOVERNANCE COUNCIL (ST PAUL); heard from U of M Extension on facilitation services; discussed future topics, including county consolidation proposals

MARCH 1 - NORTHERN COUNTIES LAND USE COORDINATING BOARD (CHISHOLM); talked about PILT, beaver control, small logging operations, wetland conservation act, joint meeting w/ Joint Powers Natural Resources Board

MARCH 5 - ROSEAU CONVENTION & VISITORS BUREAU; heard from Dave Bergman (Minn Dept of Tourism) on economic impact of tourism in NW Minn

MARCH 5 - ROSEAU CITY COUNCIL; revised grant application from Dept of Commerce for East Diversion project funding

MARCH 6 - SHERIFF'S COMMITTEE; Sheriff Gust offered 2010/2011 statistical information

MARCH 6 - COURTHOUSE DEPARTMENT HEADS

MARCH 7 - OPERATIONS COMMITTEE

MARCH 7 - COMMUNITY JUSTICE COORDINATING COMMITTEE; talked about teen journey parents component; early intervention with at-risk kids

MARCH 8 - LAND ASSET PILOT PROJECT; continued discussion on land exchange between Roseau County and the Minn DNR